arXiv:1701.06185v3 [quant-ph] 6 Feb 2017

Bound-state-energy cost of entanglement protection

N. Behzadi [a] *, B. Ahansaz [b], H. Kasani [c] and E. Faizi [b]

[a]Research Institute for Fundamental Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran,

[b]Physics Department, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran,

[c]Physics Department, Mohaghegh Ardabili University, Ardabil, Iran.

February 8, 2017

Abstract

In this work, a genuine mechanism of entanglement protection of a two-qubit system interacting with a dissipative common reservoir is investigated. Based on the generating of bound state for total system, we show that stronger bound state in the energy spectrum can be created by adding another non-interacting qubits into the reservoir. In the next step, it is found that obtaining higher degrees of boundedness in the energy spectrum leads the better protection of two-qubit entanglement against the dissipative noises. Also, it is figured out that the formation of bound state not only exclusively determines the long time entanglement protection, irrespective to the Markovian and non-Markovian dynamics, but also performs the task for reservoirs with different spectral densities.

PACS Nos:
Keywords: Entanglement protection, Bound state energy, Additional qubits, Spectral density, Concurrence

I. Introduction

Quantum entanglement, one of the wonderful aspects of quantum mechanics which has no classical counterpart, has been considered as a main resource in understanding and development of quantum information processing protocols [1]. However, since quantum entanglement is so fragile due to decoherence effect, it inevitably undergoes either asymptotic decay or sudden death processes [2, 3]. So in this regard, unavoidable interaction between any real quantum system and its surrounding environment alters the quantum system and consequently disentanglement occurs. This is apparently a disadvantageous procedure relative

*E-mail:n.behzadi@tabrizu.ac.ir

to the applications of quantum information processing tasks. Therefore, it is very important to find out a mechanism whose advantage leads to an effective long-time entanglement preservation. So far, a lot of researches have been devoted to entanglement manipulation and protection, such as quantum Zeno effect (QZE) [4, 5, 6, 7] and detuning modulation [8, 9]. The other scheme for protection of entanglement against the environmental noises has been proposed on the basis of addition of another qubits to the dissipative environment [10, 11, 12].

It was found that the coupling between an excited atom and electromagnetic vacuum reservoir leads atom-photon bound state [13] which in turns exhibits a fractional steady-state atomic population on the excited state known as populating trapping [14]. On the other hand, entanglement trapping due to structured environment [15] is a direct consequence of populating trapping. Based on these finding, it was proposed a method for entanglement protection of two qubits in two uncorrelated reservoirs using the non-Markovian effect along with creating bound state for the system-environment through the manipulating of the spectral densities [16].

In this paper, we give an actual mechanism for protection of two-qubit entanglement exclusively on the basis of formation of bound state for the two-qubit system and its common reservoir using additional qubits. In this approach without manipulating the spectral density of the environment, system reservoir bound state can be generated by adding another non-interacting qubits into the reservoir. It is interesting to note that creating bound state in the energy spectrum of the total system with higher degree of boundedness is provided by inserting more additional qubits. As will be figured out, higher degree of boundedness gives the better protection of entanglement in long time limit irrespective to Markovian or non-Markovian dynamics. We also examine the performance of the approach for several different spectral densities and observe that the protection process only depends on the existence of bound state and completely independent from structure of environment.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the model of N non-interacting qubits in a common zero-temperature reservoir is introduced. We derive the condition for the formation of bound state in the spectrum of total system. In Sec. III, the entanglement dynamics of two-qubit system is studied by considering different models for the reservoir structure. Finally, a brief conclusions are given at the end of the paper.

II. The model

In this section, we consider a system of N non-interacting qubits (two-level atoms) immersed in a common zero-temperature thermal reservoir. The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ of the system can be written as ($\hbar = 1$)

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_I, \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{H}_0$ is the free Hamiltonian and $\hat{H}_I$ describes the interaction terms,

$$\hat{H}_0 = \omega_0 \sum_{l=1}^{N} \hat{\sigma}_l^+ \hat{\sigma}_l^- + \sum_k \omega_k \hat{b_k}^\dagger \hat{b_k}, \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{H}_I = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \sum_{k} g_k \hat{b_k} \hat{\sigma}_l^+ + g_k^* \hat{b_k^\dagger} \hat{\sigma}_l^-. \tag{3}$$

In Eqs. (2) and (3), $\hat{\sigma}_l^+$ ($\hat{\sigma}_l^-$) is the raising (lowering) operator of the l^{th} qubit with transition frequency ω_0 and $\hat{b_k}$ ($\hat{b_k}^\dagger$) is the annihilation (creation) operator of the k^{th} field mode with frequency ω_k. Also, the strength of coupling the l^{th} qubit to the k^{th} field mode is represented by g_k. The spectrum of the Hamiltonian can be obtained by solving the following eigenvalue equation

$$\hat{H}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle = E|\psi(t)\rangle. \tag{4}$$

Since the total Hamiltonian commutes with the number of excitations (i. e. $[(\sum_{l=1}^{N} \hat{\sigma}_l^+ \hat{\sigma}_l^- + \sum_k \hat{b_k}^\dagger \hat{b_k}), H] = 0$), therefore by considering the single excitation subspace, we have

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{N} C_l(t)|l\rangle_s|0\rangle_e + \sum_k C_k(t)|0\rangle_s|1_k\rangle_e. \tag{5}$$

where $|l\rangle_s = |g\rangle_{l^{th}\equiv e}^{\bigotimes N}$, which means that all of the qubits are in their respective ground states $|g\rangle$ except the l^{th} qubit which is in the excited state $|e\rangle$, and $|0\rangle_s = |g\rangle^{\bigotimes N} = |g, g, ..., g\rangle$. Also, we denote $|0\rangle_e$ being the vacuum state of the reservoir and $|1_k\rangle_e$ is the state for which there is only one excitation in the kth field mode. Substituting Eqs. (1) and (5) into Eq. (4), yields the following set of $N+1$ equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_k C_k(t) + \textstyle\sum_{l=1}^{N} g_k^* C_l(t) &= E C_k(t), \\
\omega_0 C_1(t) + \textstyle\sum_k g_k C_k(t) &= E C_1(t), \\
\omega_0 C_2(t) + \textstyle\sum_k g_k C_k(t) &= E C_2(t), \\
&\vdots \\
\omega_0 C_N(t) + \textstyle\sum_k g_k C_k(t) &= E C_N(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Obtaining $C_k(t)$ from the first equation and substituting it in the rest ones gives the following N integro-differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(E-\omega_0) C_1(t) &= -\textstyle\int_0^\infty \frac{J(\omega)d\omega}{E-\omega} \sum_{l=1}^{N} C_l(t), \\
(E-\omega_0) C_2(t) &= -\textstyle\int_0^\infty \frac{J(\omega)d\omega}{E-\omega} \sum_{l=1}^{N} C_l(t), \\
&\vdots \\
(E-\omega_0) C_N(t) &= -\textstyle\int_0^\infty \frac{J(\omega)d\omega}{E-\omega} \sum_{l=1}^{N} C_l(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Eliminating the coefficients $C_l(t)$s reads

$$E = \omega_0 - N \int_0^\infty \frac{J(\omega)d\omega}{E-\omega} \equiv y(E). \tag{8}$$

Solution of Eq. (8), depends effectively on the spectral density of the reservoir. Existence of a bound state requires that Eq. (8) has at least a real solution for $E < 0$. Indeed, it can be easily checked out that there is bound state solution for Eq. (8) when it satisfies the condition $y(0) < 0$, otherwise, there is no bound state. In the other hand, it has only complex solutions when a bound state is not formed. This means that the corresponding eigenstate experiences decay from the imaginary part of the eigenvalue during the time evolution and therefore the excited-state population approaches to zero asymptotically. However, for a bound state the population of the atomic excited state is constant in time because it has a vanishing decay rate during the time evolution. In the following, we consider some typical spectral functions such as Lorentzian, Sub-Ohmic, Ohmic and super-Ohmic for the structure of the reservoir, and show how the addition of non-interacting qubits generates bound state and affects its quality.

III. Entanglement dynamics

To quantify the degree of entanglement between of a two-qubit system, we use concurrence as a measure of entanglement in this way [17]. The concurrence is defined as

$$C(\rho) = \max\{0, \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_3} - \sqrt{\lambda_4}\}, \tag{9}$$

in which λ_i's are the eigenvalues, in decreasing order, of the Hermitian matrix $R = \sqrt{\sqrt{\rho}\tilde{\rho}\sqrt{\rho}}$ with $\tilde{\rho} = (\sigma_y^A \otimes \sigma_y^B)\rho^*(\sigma_y^A \otimes \sigma_y^B)$. Here ρ^* means the complex conjugation of ρ and σ_y is the Pauli matrix. Let us consider m^{th} and n^{th} qubits of the system with $m \neq n$, prepared initially in an EPR-type entangled state as follows

$$|\phi(0)\rangle_{m,n} = C_m(0)|e,g\rangle + C_n(0)|g,e\rangle. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, at time $t > 0$, the concurrence of the two-qubit system prepared initially in (10) is as

$$C(\rho_{m,n}(t)) = 2|C_m(t)C_n(t)|. \tag{11}$$

To finding the probability amplitudes $C_m(t)$ and $C_n(t)$, we use the Schrodinger equation in the interaction picture

$$i\frac{d}{dt}|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}_I(t)|\psi(t)\rangle, \tag{12}$$

where the Hamiltonian in this picture is given by $\hat{H}_I(t) = e^{i\hat{H}_0 t}\hat{H}_I e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t}$ and substituting Eq. (5) and $\hat{H}_I(t)$ into Eq. (12) gives a system of $N+1$ differential equations as

$$\dot{C}_l(t) = -i\sum_k g_k C_k(t) e^{i(\omega_0-\omega_k)t}, \tag{13}$$

$$\dot{C}_k(t) = -i\sum_{l=1}^{N} g_k^* C_l(t) e^{-i(\omega_0-\omega_k)t}, \tag{14}$$

where $l = 1, 2, ..., N$. Integrating Eq. (14) and substituting it into the Eq. (13) gives the following set of N closed integro-differential equation

$$\frac{dC_l(t)}{dt} = -\int_0^t f(t-t') \sum_{u=1}^{N} C_u(t) dt', \tag{15}$$

where the correlation function $f(t-t')$ is related to the spectral density $J(\omega)$ of the reservoir by

$$f(t-t') = \int d\omega J(\omega) e^{i(\omega_0-\omega)(t-t')}. \tag{16}$$

The solutions of Eq. (15), i.e. $C_l(t)$s, depend on the particular choice of the spectral density of the reservoir, which will be considered in the following subsections.

1. Lorentzian spectral density

We first consider the Lorentzian spectral density as

$$J(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\gamma_0 \lambda^2}{(\omega-\omega_0)^2 + \lambda^2}, \tag{17}$$

where ω_0 is the central frequency of the reservoir equal to the transition frequency of the qubits. The parameter λ defines the spectral width of the coupling and γ_0 is the coupling strength. By substituting $J(\omega)$ into Eq. (16), the correlation function $f(t-t')$ can be determined analytically. Using Laplace transform, the exact solutions of Eq. (15) can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} C_l(t) = e^{-\lambda t/2}\Big(\cosh(\tfrac{Dt}{2}) + \tfrac{\lambda}{D}\sinh(\tfrac{Dt}{2})\Big) C_l(0) + \tfrac{(N-1)C_l(0) - \sum_{l' \neq l}^{N} C_{l'}(0)}{N} \\ \Big(1 - e^{-\lambda t/2}\big(\cosh(\tfrac{Dt}{2}) + \tfrac{\lambda}{D}\sinh(\tfrac{Dt}{2})\big)\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $D = \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 2\gamma_0 \lambda N}$, and by considering the point that the dynamics is Markovian in the weak coupling regime ($\gamma_0 < \frac{\lambda}{2N}$) and non-Markovian in the strong coupling regime ($\gamma_0 > \frac{\lambda}{2N}$).

For the Lorentzian spectral density which is physically corresponding to a single mode leaky cavity, solutions of Eq. (8) in the bound state region ($E < 0$) are given in Fig. 1*a* and Fig. 1*c*, corresponding to the Markovian and non-Markovian dynamics respectively. For $N = 2$, corresponding to the absence of additional qubits, there is no bound state solution for Eq. (8). Absence of the bound state leads complete decay of two-qubit entanglement for both Markovian and Non-Markovian dynamics as shown in Fig. 1*b* and Fig. 1*d*, respectively. For $N = 8$, corresponding to the presence of six additional qubits, we have formation of the bound state for Markovian and non-Markovian regimes. In this situation, the two-qubit entanglement is suppressed from death and there is a non-zero steady value for the concurrence. As the number of additional qubits grows (for example, $N = 12$) we have a bound

state whose boundedness becomes stronger which consequently, irrespective to Markovian and Non-Markovian dynamics, gives a better protection of entanglement.

It is concluded that the generation of bound state for the system-environment through the addition of non-interacting qubits actually leads to the protection of two-qubit entanglement against the dissipative noises irrespective to the point that whether its dynamics is Markovian or non-Markovian.

2. Sub-Ohmic, Ohmic and super-Ohmic spectral density

To making an extension for confirming the performance of the proposed mechanism, we consider other spectral densities whose unified form is

$$J(\omega) = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi}\omega_c^{1-s}\omega^s e^{-\frac{\omega}{\omega_c}}. \tag{19}$$

where the parameters $s = 1/2,\ 1,\ 2$, are corresponding to sub-Ohmic, Ohmic and super-Ohmic spectral densities respectively, each of which in turns corresponds to a different physical context. It is known that the sub-Ohmic case corresponds to the type of noise appearing in solid state devices, the Ohmic case corresponds to the charged interstitials and the super-Ohmic case corresponds to a phonon bath [18]. The cut-off frequency is represented by ω_c and γ is a dimensionless coupling constant. Unfortunately, there is no analytical solution for the closed integro-differential equation, i.e. Eq. (15), and so the only recourse to obtaining the concurrence evolution is numerical methods. Also according to Eq. (8), we obtain numerically the condition of existence of bound state for the sub-Ohmic, Ohmic and super-Ohmic cases to investigate how the availability of the bound state is improved by addition of other non-interacting qubits into the reservoir. As for the Lorentzian spectral density, for each of the mentioned situations, formation of bound state is a determinative role in protection of entanglement against the dissipation. Fig. 2*a*, Fig. 2*c* and Fig. 2*e* indicate the fruitful effects of additional qubits in creating system-environment bound state for sub-Ohmic, Ohmic and super-Ohmic spectral densities respectively. Also, it is observed that in the absence of additional qubits ($N = 2$) there is no bound state in the system environment spectrum corresponding to the sub-Ohmic, Ohmic and super-Ohmic spectral densities. Therefore, under this condition, protection of entanglement is completely failed (see Fig. 2*b*, Fig. 2*d* and Fig. 2*f*).

On the other hand, in the attendance of additional qubits into the reservoir ($N = 8$), formation of bound state relative to the respective spectral densities is taken place which in turns provides the protection of entanglement from sudden death. As observed for the Lorentzian spectral density in the previous subsection, entering more additional qubits into the reservoir creates bound state with higher degree of boundedness which, in this regard, gives better protection of entanglement again.

Consequently, irrespective to the structure of environment, existence of system environment bound state completely determines the protection process of entanglement in long time limit from dissipation.

IV. Conclusions

We investigated a mechanism for two-qubit entanglement protection from dissipation caused by a common reservoir on the basis of creating bound state for the system and environment using non-interacting additional qubits. As observed for the reservoir with Lorentzian spectral density, irrespective to the dynamics of the two-qubit system from Markovian and non-Markovian point of views, stronger bound state leads better protection of entanglement. In the next step, we examined the procedure for other reservoirs with different structures such as sub-Ohmic, Ohmic and supe-Ohmic. It was concluded that the formation of bound state has exclusively a determinative role in the long time entanglement protection irrespective to the structure of the reservoir. Finally, this mechanism could be beneficial for long time limit protection of multi-qubit entanglement which can be considered as a subject of future research.

References

[1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).

[2] K. Hornberger, Lect. Notes Phys. 768, 221 (2009).

[3] Z. Merali, Nature 474, 24 (2011).

[4] S. Maniscalco, F. Francisca, R. Zaffino, N. Gullo and F. Plastina, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 090503 (2008).

[5] D. Mundarain and M. Orszag, Phys. Rev. A. 79, 052333 (2009).

[6] R. Rossi Jr, Phys. Lett. A 374, 2331 (2010).

[7] Y. Hou, G. Zhang, Y. Chen and H. Fan, Ann. Phys. 327, 292 (2012).

[8] N. Ba An, J. Kim and K. Kim, Phys. Rev. A 82, 032316 (2010).

[9] X. Xiao, Y. Li, K. Zeng and C. Wu, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 42, 235502 (2009).

[10] N. Ba An, J. Kim and K. Kim, Phys. Rev. A 84, 022329 (2011).

[11] N. Ba An, Phys. Lett. A 337, 2520 (2013).

[12] M. M. Flores, E. A. Galapon, Ann Phys. 354, 2130 (2015).

[13] S. John and T. Quang, Phys. Rev. A 50, 1764 (1994).

[14] P. Lambropoulos, G. M. Nikolopoulos, T. R. Nielsen, and S. Bay, Rep. Prog. Phys. 63, 455 (2000).

[15] B. Bellomo, R. LoFranco, S. Maniscalco, and G. Com-pagno, Phys. Rev. A 78, 060302 (2008).

[16] Q. Tong, J. An, H. Luo and C. Oh, Phys. Rev. A 81, 052330 (2010).

[17] W. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2245 (1998).

[18] J. Paavola, J. Piilo, K. A. Suominen, and S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. A 79, 052120 (2009).



Figure 1:

Fig. 1. (a, c, e) Diagrammatic solutions of Eq. (8), and (b, d, f) concurrence dynamics of a two-qubit system with $N = 2$ (solid line), $N = 8$ (dashed line) and $N = 12$ (dotted line) for (a, b) sub-Ohmic $(s = 1/2)$, (c, d) Ohmic $(s = 1)$ and (e, f) super-Ohmic $(s = 2)$ spectral densities with fixed parameters $\omega_c = 1$ (in units of ω_0), $\gamma = 1$ (in units of ω_0).

Fig. 1. (a, c, e) Diagrammatic solutions of Eq. (8), and (b, d, f) concurrence dynamics of a two-qubit system with $N = 2$ (solid line), $N = 8$ (dashed line) and $N = 12$ (dotted line) for (a, b) sub-Ohmic ($s = 1/2$), (c, d) Ohmic ($s = 1$) and (e, f) super-Ohmic ($s = 2$) spectral densities with fixed parameters $\omega_c = 1$ (in units of ω_0), $\gamma = 1$ (in units of ω_0).



Figure 2: